

# **IN VIVO PREDICTION OF LIVE WEIGHT AND CARCASS TRAITS USING BODY MEASUREMENTS IN INDIGENOUS GUINEA FOWL**

**D. M. Ogah**

Animal Science Department, College of Agriculture, Lafia, Nasarawa State, Nigeria  
Corresponding author: mosesdogah@yahoo.com  
Original scientific paper

**Abstract:** The objectives of this study were to evaluate the relationship between live measurements and carcass traits, and develop linear regression models to predict live weight and set of carcass traits in an indigenous guinea fowl. Twenty eight adult indigenous birds of both sexes were used for the study. Live weight and body measurements were obtained before slaughter while carcass traits were taken on hot carcass. Result obtained from descriptive statistics showed that, mean performance were  $1208 \pm 6.86$  g,  $22.17 \pm 0.13$  cm,  $8.94 \pm 0.07$  cm,  $2.96 \pm 0.03$  cm,  $34.23 \pm 0.19$  cm,  $850.15 \pm 7.18$  g,  $267.23 \pm 1.69$  g,  $72.39 \pm 0.64$  g and 70.38% for body weight, body length, thigh length, keel length, chest circumference, carcass weight, breast weight, thigh weight and dressing percentage. All the traits except for keel length were positively ( $P < 0.001$ ) correlated to body weight. Chest circumference had the highest predictive power in live weight estimate ( $R^2$  .558), while body weight stand out as the single most important variable in carcass weight and breast weight prediction ( $R^2$  .820 and .902) This suggest that that carcass weight and breast weight prediction can best be obtained using body weight, providing direction in developing model for selection and improvement of guinea fowl for meat production.

**Key words:** Guinea fowl, relationship , body measurement, carcass trait

## **Introduction**

Guinea fowl (*Numidia meleagris*) originate from Africa, where it exist in large number in the wild (Gracey *et al.*, 1999; Saina, 2005). The production of guinea fowl as an alternative poultry is gaining ground throughout the world, especially in developing nations with increasing demand for its meat because of the advantage of the grainy flavour (Mareko *et al.*, 2006).

As a result of the increasing interest in farming guinea fowl and the gradual domestication of the bird, it is required to develop breeding strategies that will

bring about improvement in its performance and in supply of meat and egg. There are little or no available literatures on selection direction towards increasing live weight or carcass of guinea fowl for now. *In vivo* prediction of carcass component based on single trait are usually discouraged as not reliable. *Raji et al. (2009)* and *Wawro (1990)* proposed that more accurate results can be obtained when several parameters are used as independent variables in predicting and improving carcass performance in birds, this was substantiated when multiple traits were used in a regression analysis.

Carcass meatiness in poultry depends first of all on the components of breast and leg muscles (*Wilkie-wicz-Wawro et al., 2003*). Selection should be aimed at developing these areas. Body measurements can be useful in breeding work particularly in weight and carcass improvement (*Wawro and Wawro, 1989; Wawro 1990; Wawro and Jankowski 1990*).

Most models were developed by multiple linear regression procedure where collinearity among the independent variables was not evaluated. However collinearity problem among independent variables should be expected as these are both genetically and phenotypically correlated (*Simm and Dingwall, 1989*) and it is known that model based on multicollinearity variable can limit inference and the accuracy of prediction (*Chatterjee et al., 2000*). In fact the use of collinear variables as independent variables does not improve the model precision, and create instability in the regression coefficients estimation (*Shahin and Hassan, 2000*).

The objective of this work was to evaluate the relationship between live measurements and carcass traits and to determine the usefulness of body measurements in predicting live weight and some carcass traits in guinea fowl.

## Materials and Methods

**Experimental animals and their management.** Twenty eight indigenous guinea fowl made of thirteen males and fifteen females were bought from Kano main market and transported to Lafia. The birds were kept for two weeks at a rearing pen in the Teaching and Research Farm of College of Agriculture, Doma Road, Lafia, and were placed on optimum feeding for the period of their stay with concentrate diet containing 18% CP and a metabolizable energy of 2700 kcal/kg and are supplied with freshly clean water *ad libitum*. After two weeks, the birds were used for the analysis, body measurement were taken and carcass traits were measured after being starved for 12 hours from feed and slaughtered.

**Parameter measured.** Live body measurement include, body weight (BWT), body length (BL), wing length (WL), thigh length (TL), keel length (KL) and chest circumference (CC). While carcass measurement traits include carcass weight (CCW), breast weight (BRW), thigh weight (THW) and dressing

percentage .Kitchen scale and graduated measurement tape was used to obtain the data. To ensure accuracy , each measurement was taken twice , same person throughout took all measurements and weighing , thus eliminating error due to personal difference. The data from males and females are combined since there was no significant difference between the sexes in the above mentioned traits.

**Statistical analysis.** Data collected were analysed for preliminary descriptive statistics( mean±se, minimum , maximum and coefficient of variation). Pearson's correlation subroutine was used to determine the coefficient of simple correlation between live weight , body measurements and the target carcass components (carcass weight , breast weight and thigh weight). Sex effect was found not to be significant. Step wise multiple regression was performed to estimate live weight and carcass weight using body measurements traits to produce the best regression model for each dependent variable based on the regression coefficient( $R^2$ ). Step wise regression is a standard procedure for variable selection, which is based on the procedure of sequentially introducing the predictor into the model one at a time. It starts as the forward selection but at each stage the probability of deleting a predictor as backward elimination is considered. The number of predictors retained in the final model is determined by the level of significance accounted for inclusion and exclusion of predictors for the model (Chatterjee et al., 2000). Due to the influence of collinearity on the reliability of coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) as outline by variance inflation factor , VIF was determine for each stepwise to ascertain the usability of the  $R^2$  obtained (Rook et al 1998). The following was used as

$$\text{VIF} = 1 / (1 - R^2)$$

Where

$R^2$  =coefficient of determination

Statistical package SPSS 14.0(2004) was used for the analysis

## Results and Discussion

Means and their corresponding standard errors , minimum, maximum and coefficient of variation for all live body measurements and carcass traits are presented in Table 1. Wing length, chest circumference had the lowest variability, similarly the other traits had variability below ten percent, this might be as a result of breed identity and specificity indicating homogeneity of the population. The mean body weight obtained was 1208 g, comparable to what *Galor (1985)* and *Ayorinde (1991)* obtained for exotic guinea fowl reared in Nigeria. Though the

values recorded here were higher than what *Ayeni (1983)* and *Dahouda et al. (2009)* obtained from same strain of indigenous guinea fowl (1110 g) and far lower than what *Saina et al. (2005)* obtained 1480 g from Zimbabwe guinea fowl. The variation might be genetic or breed effect. The weight here are far lower compared to chickens of about same age, as broilers reach 2kg at 8weeks. The light weight and small body frame of guinea fowl may be a naturally selected trait meant for rapid take off (flight) and fast running as part of adaptive traits for survival in the wild (*Mareko et al., 2006*). The result of carcass weight, breast weight and thigh weight obtain here is similar to what *Dahouda et al. (2009)* recorded on Benin guinea fowl fed with mucuna.

**Table 1. Descriptive statistics of live body weight, linear traits and carcass characteristics of indigenous guinea fowl**

Variable	mean±se	minimum	maximum	cv
Body weight (g)	1208±6.86	1010	1250	5.04
Body length (cm)	22.17±0.13	19.40	23.60	5.24
Wing length (cm)	19.38±0.08	18.20	21.00	3.70
Thigh length (cm)	8.94±0.07	8.00	10.00	6.54
Keel length (cm)	2.96±0.03	2.50	3.30	7.57
Chest circumf.(cm0	34.23±0.19	31.00	38.00	4.91
Carcass weight(g)	850.15±7.18	684.00	940.00	7.46
Breast weight (g)	267.23±1.69	225.00	288.00	5.58
Thigh weight(g)	72.39±0.64	59.00	78.00	7.76
Dressing percentage%	70.38			

**Phenotypic correlation.** Pearson's coefficient of correlation matrix for body weight, body measurements and carcass traits of the guinea fowl are shown in Table 2. All the traits except keel length showed positive and significant correlations with body weight ( $P < 0.001$ ). However, highest correlations were recorded between carcass traits and body weight. Similar finding have been reported by *Vali et al. (2005)*, *Raji et al. (2009)*, *Alkan et al. (2010)* for different line of Japanese quails. The breast and the thigh are the area where there are higher muscles deposition in the body of the bird hence their high relationship with body weight. This indicate that selection for any of these carcass traits will lead to improvement in the other. Similarly it is an indication that any of these body dimension could serve as a predictor of body weight (*Yakubu and Ayoade, 2009*). Apart from body weight, body length, wing length and thigh length show a high positive and significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) correlation with carcass component. *Bochno et al. (1999)* obtained similar result in broilers, *Kleczeek et al. (2006)* and *Wilkieicz-Wawro and Szypulewska (1999)* in Muscovy duck. This shows that this morphometric traits are also reliable predictors of carcass composition in the guinea fowl.

**Table 2. Phenotypic correlations among body weight , linear traits and carcass traits of guinea fowl**

	BWT	BL	WL	TL	KL	CC	CCW	BRW
BL	.600***							
WL	.709***	.695***						
TL	.684***	.793***	.873***					
KL	-0.032	.202	.508***	.545				
CC	.747***	.769***	.934***	.923	.473***			
CCW	.906***	.680***	.894***	.772	.177	.879***		
BRW	.950***	.678***	.871***	.783	.160	.877***	.984***	
THW	.786***	.962***	.716***	.677	-0.05	.774***	.858***	.836***

\*\*\*= $P < 0.001$  BWT=body weight, BL=body length , WL =wing length ,TL=thigh length ,KL=keel length ,CC= chest circumference, CCW=carcass weight, BRW= breast weight and THL= thigh weight

**Prediction of body weight , carcass weight and breast weight.** Tables 3 , 4 and 5 presented the result of stepwise multiple regression of body weight , carcass weight and breast weight on linear body measurements. In body weight prediction , it reveals that when chest circumference alone was used it accounted for 55.5% of the total variation in body weight, inclusion of keel length in the model increase the proportion of the explained variance to 74.3%. The accuracy of the model was further improved ( $R^2 = 80.9$ ) when thigh length , body length and wing length were added to the equation. In predicting carcass weight, the result show that body weight alone accounted for 82% of the variation in carcass weight. The proportion of variance explained increases from 82 to 96.3% when wing length, keel length , thigh length and chest circumference were added. For breast weight prediction, body weight seems to be the major trait in determining breast weight. The result of stepwise regression analysis for predicting breast weight from live weight and linear traits show that body weight alone accounted for 90.2% of the variation in breast weight, this was progressively improved to 98.6% when wing length , thigh length and chest circumference were included. This result indicate that body weight can be predicted with a fair degree of accuracy from chest circumference , keel length and thigh length . This findings is consistent with what *Peter et al. (2006)* and *Yakubu et al. (2009)* observed in Nigeria indigenous chicken genotype, *Gueye et al. (1998)* in Senegal chicken and

*Teguia et al. (2007)* in muscovy duck. *Raji et al. (2009)* reported that the relationship between live body measurement for estimation of carcass component in vivo depends on the correlation between them, these observation was noticed here with higher correlation existing between body weight and carcass components(.906, .950 and .786) with carcass weight , breast weight and thigh weight respectively.

**Table 3. Stepwise multiple regression of body weight on linear body measurements**

Model	Explanatory variable Predictor	intercept	reg. coeff	SE	R <sup>2</sup>	VIF
1	Chest circumference	282.763	27.034	2.759	.558	1.00
2	Chest circumference	391.381	35.521	2.373	.743	1.288
	Keel length		-134.420	17.769		1.288
3	Chest circumference	478.619	24.404	5.321	.766	6.851
	Keel length		-147.919	18.228		1.434
	Thigh length		37.265	16.074		7.563
4	Chest circumference	628.058	26.518	5.055	.796	6.851
	Keel length		-175.166	19.131		1.781
	Thigh length		63.412	17.171		9.728
	Body length		-16.546	5.126		3.424
5	Chest circumference	458.655	15.797	6.867	.809	13.518
	Keel length		-181.718	18.855		1.825
	Thigh length		62.107	16.728		9.740
	Body length		-15.929	4.999		3.436
	Wing length			28.168	12.584	8.286

**Table 4. Stepwise multiple regression of carcass weight on body weight and linear body measurements**

Model	Explanatory variable Predictor	intercept	reg. coeff	SE	R <sup>2</sup>	VIF
1	Body weight	-290.696	.944	.051	.820	1.00
2	Body weight	-706.282	.570	.039	.948	2.011
	Wing length		44.782	3.307		2.011
3	Body weight	-705.901	.451	.047	.957	3.452
	Wing length		58.497	4.597		4.649
	Keel length		-41.015	10.356		2.314
4	Body weight	-771.845	.503	.051	.960	4.392
	Wing length		62.949	4.916		5.593
	Keel length		-28.770	11.523		3.014
	Thigh length		-13.407	6.082		5.694
5	Body weight	-751.271	.476	.051	.963	4.585
	Wing length		53.657	5.959		8.849
	Keel length		-28.922	11.105		3.015
	Thigh length		-23.283	7.007		8.141
	Chest circumference		8.194	3.187		13.920

**Table 5. Stepwise multiple regression of breast weight on body weight and linear body measurements**

Model	Explanatory variable	Predictor	intercept	reg.coeff	SE	R <sup>2</sup>	VIF
1	Body weight		- 13.918	.233	.009	.902	1.00
2	Body weight		-90.592	.164	.006	.980	2.011
	Wing length			8.262	.477		2.011
3	Body weight		-101.984	.167	.005	.982	2.084
	Wing length			9.741	.697		4.682
	Thigh length			-2.320	.825		4.371
4	Body weight		-97.271	.161	.005	.986	2.270
	Wing length			7.586	.826		7.956
	Thigh length			-4.613	.938		6.837
	Chest circumference			1.895	.466		13.919

Several authors, (*Sehested 1986; Teixeira et al., 2006; Wood and Maefie, 1980; Delfa et al., 1996*) observed that multiple regression models developed to predict lean meat weight are dominated by live weight or carcass weight. In the present findings, prediction of both the carcass weight and breast weight seems to have been mainly influenced singly by the body weight 82 and 90.2%

Variance inflation factor (VIF) values for interrelationship between traits is shown along stepwise multiple regression, it represent the increase in variance due to high correlation between predictors (*Pimentel et al., 2007*). In the present study the VIF gave indication of existence of severe collinearity (13.518, 13.520 and 13.919) in Tables 3, 4 and 5. According to *Gill (1986)* VIF greater than 10 .00 indicate severe collinearity rendering the reliability of the predictive equation not effective. It can then be suggested that the best equation for predicting body weight, carcass weight and breast weight should be

$$BWT=628.058+26.518CC + -175.166KL+63.412TL+ -16.544BL$$

$$CCW= -771.845+.503BWT+62.949WL+ -28.770KL+ -13.407TL$$

$$BRW= -101.984+.167.BWT+9.741WL+ -2.320TL$$

## Conclusion

The result from this study shows that body weight, wing length and chest circumference had high positive and significant ( $P<0.001$ ) correlation with carcass traits. Similarly body weight was shown to be a better predictor of the carcass components. This will help in providing a platform for designing breeding index for guinea fowl improvement.

## ***In vivo* predviđanje telesne mase i kvaliteta trupa korišćenjem telesnih mera kod domaće biserke**

*D. M. Ogah*

### **Rezime**

Ciljevi ove studije su da se proceni veza između telesne mase i kvaliteta trupa, i da se razvije linearni regresioni model za predviđanje telesne mase i niza osobine kvaliteta trupa kod domace biserke. Dvadesetosam odraslih ptica oba pola je korišćeno u istraživanju. Telesna masa i telesne mere su dobijene pre klanja, a osobine kvaliteta trupa su utvrđene na toplim trupovima. Rezultati dobijeni pomoću deskriptivne statistike su pokazali da su prosečne vrednosti osobina  $1208 \pm 6.86\text{g}$ ,  $22.17 \pm 0.13\text{ cm}$ ,  $8,94 \pm 0,07\text{cm}$ ,  $2,96 \pm 0.03\text{cm}$ ,  $34.23 \pm 0.19\text{cm}$ ,  $850,15 \pm 7.18\text{gr}$ ,  $267,23 \pm 1,69\text{g}$   $72,39 \pm 0,64\text{g}$  i  $70.38\%$  zatelesne masu, dužinu tela, dužinu buta, dužinu kobilice, obim grudi, masu trupova, masu grudi, masu buta i randman. Sve osobine izuzev dužine kobilice dužine su u pozitivnoj korelaciji sa telesnom masom ( $P < 0,001$ ). Osobina obim grudi je imala najveću moć predviđanja procene telesne mase ( $R^2 .558$ ), dok se telesna masa izdvaja kao najvažnija promenljiva za predviđanje mase trupova i grudi ( $R^2 .820$  i  $.902$ ). Ovo nam govori da je za predviđanje mase trupova i grudi najbolje koristiti telesnu masu, ako razvijamo model za izbor i unapređenje biserki u proizvodnji mesa.

### **References**

- ALKAN S., KARABY K., GAHI A., KARSHI T., BALCIOGLU M.S. (2010): Determination of body weight and some carcass traits in Japanese quails of different lines Kafkas. *Uni.Vet.Fak.Derg* 16, 2, 277-280.
- AYORINDE K.L.A. (1991): Guinea fowl as protein supplement in Nigeria. *World Poultry Science Journal* 47, 2, 21-26.
- AYENI J.S.O., TEWE O.O., AJAYI S.S. (1983): Body measurements, egg characteristics and social acceptance of guinea fowls in Nigeria. *Tropical Agriculture (Trinidad)*, 60, 224-226.
- BOCHNO R., RYMKIEWICZ J., JANISZEWSKA M. (1999): Multiple regression equations for the estimation of the content of breast muscles in White Italian geese. Pages 83-89. In *Proc. 12th European Symp. Waterfowl*, Adana, Turkey. 17
- CHATTERJEE S., HADI A.S., PRICE B. (2000): *Regression analysis by example*. John Willey & Sons, Inc., New York.



- DAHHOUDA M., TOLEBA S.S., YOUSAO A.K.I., MAAMAALI A.A., DANGOU-SAPOHOR.K., AHOUNOU S.G., HAMBUECKERS A., HORNICK J.L. (2009): Effect of raw and processed mucuna pruriens seed based diet on the growth parameter and meat characteristics of Benin local guinea fowl. *International J. Poultry Science*, 8, 9, 882-889.
- DELFA R., GONZALEZ C., TEIXEIRA A. (1996): Use of cold carcass weight and fat depth measurements to predict carcass composition of rasa aragonesa lambs. *Small Ruminant Research*, 20, 267-274.
- GALOR (1985): Note book for the keeping of guinea fowl broilers. The Technical Service, Amboise, France, 17.
- GRACEY J., COLLINS D.S., HUEY R.H. (1999): Meat hygiene 10<sup>th</sup> edition, WB Saunder company Ltd London.
- GUEYE E.F., NDIAYE A., BRANCKAET R.D.S. (1998): Prediction of body weight on the basis of body measurement in mature indigenous chicken in Senegal <http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd10/3/sene/03.htm>
- KLECZEK K., WAWRO K., WILKIEWICZ-WAWRO E., MAKOWSKI W. (2006): Multiple Regression Equations to Estimate the Content of Breast Muscles, Meat, and Fat in Muscovy Ducks. *Poultry Science*, 85, 1318-1326.
- MAREKO M.H.D., NSOSO S.J., THIBELANG K. (2006): Preliminary carcass and meat characteristics of guinea fowl raised on concrete and earth floors in Botswana. *J. Food Tech.*, 4, 4, 313-317.
- PETER S.O., ADELEKE M.A., OZOJE O.A., ADEBAMBO O.A., IKEOBI C.O.N. (2006): Bio-prediction of live weight from linear body measurement traits among pure and cross bred chicken. *Nigeria. Poult. Sc. J.*, 4, 1-6.
- RAJI A.O., ALIYU J., IGWEBUIKE J.U. (2009): *In Vivo* Estimation Of Carcass Components From Live Body Measurements Of The Japanese Quail (*Cortunix Cortunix Japonica*) *ARNP Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*.
- ROOK A.J., DHANOA M.S., GILL M. (1998): Prediction of the voluntary intake of grass silages by beef cattle 2 Principal component and ridge regression analyses. *Anim. Prod.*, 50, 439-454 .
- SAINA H. (2005): Guinea fowl (*Numidia meleagris*) production under small holder farmers management in Guruive District Zimbabwe Msc Theses. University of Zimbabwe.
- SAINA H., KUSINA N.T., KUSINA J.F., BHEBHE E., LEBEL S. (2005): Guinea fowl production by indigenous farmers in Zimbabwe [www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd17/9/sai1701.htm](http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd17/9/sai1701.htm)
- SHAHIN K.A., HASSAN N.S. (2000): Sources of shared variability among body shape characters at marketing age in New Zealand white and Egyptian rabbit breeds. *Annales de Zootechnie*, 49, 435-455.
- SEHESTED E. (1986): In-vivo prediction of lamb carcass composition by computer tomography. Master's thesis, Agricultural University of Norway.

- SIMM G., DINGWALL W.S. (1989): Selection indices for lean meat production in sheep. *Livestock Production Science*, 21, 223-233.
- SPSS, STATISTICAL PACKAGE FOR SOCIAL SCIENCES (2004): SPSS Inc., (14.0 )444 Michigan Avenue, Chicago, IL60611.2004.
- TEGUIA A., NGONDJOU H.M., DEFANG H., TCHOUMBONE J. (2007): Studies of the live body weight and body characteristics of the African Muscovy duck. *Trop. Animal Health and Prod.*, 40, 5-10.
- TEIXEIRA A., MATOS S., RODRIGUES S., DELFA R., CADAVEZ V. (2006): In vivo estimation of lamb carcass composition by real-time ultrasonography. *Meat Science*, 74, 289-295.
- VALI N., EDRISS M.A., RAHMANI H.R. (2005): Genetic Parameters of Body and Some Carcass Traits in Two Quail Strains. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 4, 5, 296-300.
- WOOD J.D., MACFIE H.J.H. (1980): The significance of breed in the prediction of lamb carcass lamb carcass composition from fat thickness measurements. *Animal Production*, 31, 315-319.
- WAWRO K. (1990): Usefulness of body weight and size for evaluation of breeding value of turkeys alive. *Acta Acad. Agric. Tech. O1st Zoot. Suppl. C.*, 33, 3-54. (In Polish).
- WAWRO K., JANKOWSKI J. (1990): Wstepne badania nad przydatnoscia cech przyzyciowych do oceny umiesnienia indykow .*Przegl.Nauk.Lit.Zoot.*, 35, 50-56.
- WAWRO K., WAWRO E. (1989): Multiple regression equation for evaluating the musculature in live turkey tom from sire strain. 8<sup>th</sup> .*Inter.Symp.Current problems of avian genetics*, Smolenice, 100-105.
- WILKIEWICZ-WAWRO E.,WAWRO K., LEWCZUK A., MICHALIK D. (2003): Correlation between the breast muscles and meatiness in turkey.*Czech. J. Anim. Sc.*, 48, 5, 216-222.
- WILKIEWICZ-WAWRO E., SZYPULEWSKA K. (1999): Relationships between certain body measurements and breast muscle weight of Muscovy duck carcasses depending on the age and sex. *Zesz. Nauk. PTZ Prz. Hod.* 45, 532-533. (In Polish).
- YAKUBU A., KUJE D., OKPEKU M. (2009): Principal component as measures of size and shape in Nigeria indigenous chicken. *Thai J. Agric. Sc.*, 42, 3, 167-176.
- YAKUBU A., AYOADE J.A. (2009): Application of principal component factor analysis in quantifying size and morphological indices of domestic rabbit. *Inter. J. Morph.*, 27, 4, 1013-1017.